

Glenda Wiles

From: MrMDLloyd@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2007 6:02 PM
To: Glenda Wiles
Cc: alt2143@blackfoot.net
Subject: setback hearing Sept. 20, 2007

The proposal must have been prepared by some committee, not elected officials, unless they are willing to forget some of their constituents. Stream Setback could be placed on Private Property with loss of value. Loss of value should mean less taxes. Does this mean that I and the rest of the taxpayers will pay more taxes to fund the shortfall? Do Ravalli County Officials wish to address a taking clause? Taking is a U.S. Constitution requirement; "nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation." Taking of value is taking of property.

There must be a "Grandfather Clause" protecting any home or improvement that may fall within any setback requirement. Hardship and despair should not be the desire of Elected Officials. Please remember the words of James Madison; "The rights of persons, and the rights of property, are the objects, for the protection of which government was instituted."

Many groups demand access to streams, whether private or Federal, and some toss pop and beer cans in the water, candy wrappers and paper bags of trash on the banks. Owners of stream sides are proud and maintain their property. WHO DO YOU SUPPORT?

I oppose these setback requirements and urge our elected officials to deny it's acceptance. Prepared and signed, Merle D. Lloyd 1034 Hamilton, Montana 59828

See what's new at <http://www.aol.com>

RECEIVED
SEP 20 2007
Ravalli County Commissioners

--	--	--	--	--